

# Type-theoretic Brown representability

Perry Hart (with Favonia)

University of Minnesota, Twin Cities

MURI Meeting 2023

- A *sketch* of a type-theoretic, eventually computer-checked proof of Brown representability
- Application of this to **classifying (reduced) cohomology theories inside HoTT**

Along the way, we'll address some subtleties particular to HoTT.

# Classical Brown representability

Let  $\mathbf{CW}$  denote the  $\infty$ -category of *pointed, connected* CW complexes.

## Theorem

For every functor  $F : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{CW})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , if  $F$  sends

- *countable coproducts to products*
- *pushouts in  $\mathbf{CW}$  to weak pullbacks,*

then  $F$  is representable, i.e.,

$$F(-) \cong \|- \rightarrow_* X\|_0$$

for some  $X \in \mathbf{CW}$ .

# Classical Brown representability

Let  $\mathbf{CW}$  denote the  $\infty$ -category of *pointed, connected* CW complexes.

## Theorem

For every functor  $F : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{CW})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , if  $F$  sends

- *countable coproducts to products*
- *pushouts in  $\mathbf{CW}$  to weak pullbacks,*

then  $F$  is representable, i.e.,

$$F(-) \cong \|\!-\! \rightarrow_* X\|_0$$

for some  $X \in \mathbf{CW}$ .

## Example

All *additive* cohomology theories are represented by  $\Omega$ -spectra.

# Brief history

- Brown (1962)  
the original theorem, for **CW**
- Brown (1965)  
a general version expressed in category theory
- **Lurie (2017)**  
another general version, expressed in a setting suitable for HoTT

# Lurie's version

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally presentable  $\infty$ -category.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is generated under colimits by a set  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  where

- each  $X_i$  is *compact*;
- each  $X_i$  is a *cogroup*; and
- $X$  is closed under suspensions.

## Theorem (BRT)

For every functor  $F : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , if  $F$  sends

- *countable coproducts to products*
- *pushouts in  $\mathcal{C}$  to weak pullbacks,*

then  $F$  is representable, i.e.,

$$F(-) \cong \|- \rightarrow_* A\|_0$$

for some  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

## Translation to type theory:

1. Take any family  $X : I \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^*$  of types such that
  - each  $X_i$  is *compact* in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ ;
  - each  $X_i$  is a *cogroup* in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ ; and
  - $X$  is closed under suspensions.

For example, take  $\{\Sigma^n K\}_{n \geq 1}$  for any compact type  $K$ .

## Translation to type theory:

1. Take any family  $X : I \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^*$  of types such that
  - each  $X_i$  is *compact* in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ ;
  - each  $X_i$  is a *cogroup* in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ ; and
  - $X$  is closed under suspensions.

For example, take  $\{\Sigma^n K\}_{n \geq 1}$  for any compact type  $K$ .

2. Form the subtype  $C(X)$  of  $\mathcal{U}^*$  consisting of all iterated *pointed* colimits of diagrams valued in  $X$ .

Implemented as the total space of connected components of a particular inductive-recursive family  $D \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ .



Let  $F$  be a (1-coherent) contravariant functor from  $\mathcal{U}^*$  to **Set**.

Suppose that  $F$  sends

- countable wedge sums in  $C(X)$  to products of sets
- pushouts in  $C(X)$  to weak pullbacks of sets.

Then  $F(-) \cong \|\_ - \rightarrow_* A\|_0$  for some type  $A$  in  $C(X)$ .

Construct an object  $A_X$  in  $C(X)$  that represents  $F$  on  $X$ .

$$R_0 \quad := \quad \bigvee_{i:I, x:F(X_i)} X_i$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bigvee_{i:I, x:\ker(\|X_i \rightarrow_* R_n\|_0 \rightarrow F(X_i))} X_i & \longrightarrow & R_n \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & R_{n+1}
 \end{array}$$

$$A_X \quad := \quad \operatorname{colim}_{n:\mathbb{N}} R_n$$

To see that  $A_X$  represents  $F$  on *all*  $C(X)$ , we need the following Yoneda-like lemma.

### Lemma

For every  $A, B$  in  $C(X)$  and  $(f, f_p) : A \rightarrow_* B$ , if the function

$$\|X_i \rightarrow_* A\|_0 \xrightarrow{\|(f, f_p)^\circ - \|_0} \|X_i \rightarrow_* B\|_0$$

is an equivalence for all  $i : I$ , then  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  is an equivalence.

This reduces to Whitehead's theorem for  $C(X)$  when  $X \equiv \{S^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ .

In this sense, we need  $C(X)$  to be *strongly generated* by  $X$ .

This may not be provable in general but is consistent to postulate.

Alternatively, we can modify  $C(X)$  so that it's strongly generated by  $X$  without extra axioms.

For example, for each truncation level  $k$ , instead take the subuniverse consisting of the  $k$ -truncations of all elements of  $C(X)$ .

Alternatively, we can modify  $C(X)$  so that it's strongly generated by  $X$  without extra axioms.

For example, for each truncation level  $k$ , instead take the subuniverse consisting of the  $k$ -truncations of all elements of  $C(X)$ .

**Question:** Can we prove that  $C(\{S^n\}_{n \geq 1})$  is strongly generated?

If not, how about the subuniverse of countable CW complexes?

# Classifying cohomology theories

Two consequences of the BRT, due to Eilenberg and Steenrod:

1. Every additive cohomology theory  $(U^*)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  is represented on  $C(X)$  by a pre-spectrum valued in  $C(X)$ .
2. Take  $X \equiv \{S^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . Let  $h^\bullet, k^\bullet : (U^*)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  be additive cohomology theories.

*For each natural transformation  $T^\bullet : h^\bullet \Rightarrow k^\bullet$ , if the map  $T^n(\mathbf{2}) : h^n(\mathbf{2}) \rightarrow k^n(\mathbf{2})$  of abelian groups is an isomorphism for each  $n : \mathbb{N}$ , then  $T^\bullet \downarrow_{C(X)}$  is an isomorphism.*

*If both  $h^\bullet$  and  $k^\bullet$  are ordinary, then every isomorphism  $\tau : h^0(\mathbf{2}) \xrightarrow{\cong} k^0(\mathbf{2})$  of abelian groups extends to an isomorphism  $h^\bullet \downarrow_{C(X)} \xrightarrow{\cong} k^\bullet \downarrow_{C(X)}$  of cohomology theories.*

Inside HoTT, cohomology theories induced by spectra  $P$  need not be (countably) additive.

$$\left\| \bigvee_{k:\mathbb{N}} A_k \rightarrow_* P_n \right\|_0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad \prod_{k:\mathbb{N}} \|A_k \rightarrow_* P_n\|_0$$

*Are there nontrivial additive cohomology theories inside HoTT?*

Inside HoTT, cohomology theories induced by spectra  $P$  need not be (countably) additive.

$$\left\| \bigvee_{k:\mathbb{N}} A_k \rightarrow_* P_n \right\|_0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad \prod_{k:\mathbb{N}} \|A_k \rightarrow_* P_n\|_0$$

*Are there nontrivial additive cohomology theories inside HoTT?*

If  $H$  is an additive homology theory, then  $\text{hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(H(-), G)$  is an additive cohomology theory for all injective abelian groups  $G$ .



Inside HoTT, cohomology theories induced by spectra  $P$  need not be (countably) additive.

$$\left\| \bigvee_{k:\mathbb{N}} A_k \rightarrow_* P_n \right\|_0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad \prod_{k:\mathbb{N}} \|A_k \rightarrow_* P_n\|_0$$

*Are there nontrivial additive cohomology theories inside HoTT?*

If  $H$  is an additive homology theory, then  $\text{hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(H(-), G)$  is an additive cohomology theory for all injective abelian groups  $G$ .

*Are all homology theories induced by pre-spectra additive (at least, in enough cases) inside HoTT?*

*Can we construct non-trivial injective abelian groups inside HoTT?*

# References

Edgar Brown, *Cohomology Theories*, 1962

Edgar Brown, *Abstract Homotopy Theory*, 1965

Jacob Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, 2017